

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/738,251	12/15/2000	Jeffrey B. Hardesty	DP-303833	1434
75	7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
Vincent A. Cichosz DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES INC.			TRAN, HIEN THI	
1450 West Long		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Troy, MI 48007			1764	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/738,251	HARDESTY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hien Tran	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repuly within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ This	s action is non-final.					
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-22</u> is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2000 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ o drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appority documents have been re nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date brmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-8, in the reply filed on 4/8/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the art related to both the catalytic converter and the method of manufacturing a catalytic converter should be considered in the examination of both groups of claims. This is not found persuasive because applicants failed to point any error in the reasonings set forth in previous office action and since the search for the apparatus is not the same as that of the different method claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 9-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4/8/04.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "42" (page 8, lines 8, 12). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: "40, 64, 70, 72, 74, 76" (Fig. 3); "52, 58, 60, 62, 64, 80, 82, 84, 86" (Fig. 4); "149" (Fig. 7). A

Art Unit: 1764

proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 5. Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 6. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 2, "28" should be pointed to the mat, not the substrate. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 7. The drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the drawings to comply with CFR 1.84(p)(5), e.g. they should include the reference sign(s) mentioned in the specification and vice versa.

Specification

8. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should

Art Unit: 1764

describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 9. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not limited to one single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 10. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 7, line 8 --or protection ring-- should be inserted before "90" (note line 2); in line 28 --or retention feature-- should be inserted before "152".

Appropriate correction is required.

11. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 12. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 13. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the catalytic converter subassembly lacks reaction means as no catalyst means is recited and therefore the claim is incomplete and non-function.

In claim 2, the language of the claim is directed to method limitation which renders the claim vague and indefinite as it is unclear as to what structural limitation

Art Unit: 1764

applicants are attempting to recite, also in line 3 it is unclear as to whether the exhaust manifold is the same as to the exhaust manifold set forth in claim 1. See claims 3, 6 likewise.

Claim 2 is an improper dependent claim as it fails to further limit the subject matter of the previous claims. Apparently, claim 2 merely recites process limitation and therefore is not structurally further limiting.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

14. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 15. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kruger (EP 992,659 corresponding to US 6,555,070).

With respect to claims 1-2, Kruger discloses a catalytic converter comprising: a shell 21 having an opening; and

an exhaust manifold 12 having an outlet, said outlet secured to said opening to form a gas tight seal; wherein one end of the shell 21 is aligned with said outlet.

With respect to claim 3, Kruger discloses a mat protection ring 45, 51.

With respect to claims 4-8, Kruger discloses a retaining feature for retention in said manifold including the bumps (note curved parts near #45) or grooves (near parts #53).

Kruger further discloses that the shell including outer and inner end cones, the end cones having ends aligned with the outlet of the manifold.

Art Unit: 1764

Note that method limitation is of no patentable moment in apparatus claims.

Instant claims 1-8 structurally read on the apparatus of Kruger.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Usleman et al, Tanabe et al, Henry, and Shibata et al are cited for showing state of the art.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hien Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1454. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hen Tran

Hien Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

HT June 14, 2004